

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
(An ISO 9001:2015 certified Institute, NBA accredited for B.Tech. in ECE, EEE, CE, ME and CSE)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM


Department Name: CIVIL ENNGINEERING	Academic Year: 2022-23
Program Name: B. Tech	Year/Semester: IV /I
Name of the Student: B. Bhargali	Roll Number: 1989190102

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of orgramme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	Y		Tar and the second		
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		√			
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	0		<		
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	A 2 2 2 1	√	25 6		
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	Top to the same of	√		2	
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	2.		√ ,		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.		1			
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	√	,			
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	1				
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?		✓			

Any other suggestion	s: Tay To ac	d Some mor	re use-ful	open	Electives	-from	other	Departor	nents
acrording 1	to the Research	rch/Software	Courles.						

Date: 29/10/22





(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
(An ISO 9001:2015 certified Institute, NBA accredited for B.Tech. in ECE, EEE, CE, ME and CSE)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B. Tech	Academic Year: 2022 - 23
Department Name: CSE	Year/Semester: W-T
Name of the Student: P.L. Kavya Sri	Roll Number: 198A1A0527

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
,1 ,	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		/			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	V				
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	/				
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.		✓			
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.			-		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.		✓	-		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning of self-learning.	r /		,		
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	/				
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.					
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other suggestions: Improve real time projects Duplementation.

Date: 11-11-2022



P. L. kavyasri' Signature of Student



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

(An ISO 9001:2015 certified Institute, NBA accredited for B.Tech. in ECE, EEE, CE, ME and CSE)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name:	B-Tech	4	Academic Year:	2022 - 23
Department Name:			Year/Semester:	IV-I
Name of the Student:	V. Pavan Sai		Roll Number: / C	98A1A05C5

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1,	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		$\sqrt{}$			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.			· ,		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	\int	,		-	
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	-		4		
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		,			
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.			/		, \.
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.	•	/	Y 1,		
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		\checkmark			
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.		/			
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?	/				

Any other suggestions:	Forequently co	uduat the	e shopwonks	related	10	
benauch speci			- 1			
District Speci	J. Carron	All				

Date: 11-11-2022



Signature of Student

rel

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

(An ISO 9001:2015 certified Institute, NBA accredited for B.Tech. in ECE, EEE, CE, ME and CSE)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Programme Name: B. Tech	Academic Year: 2022 - 23				
Department Name: CSE	Year/Semester: 111 - I				
Name of the Student: Ch. pranavi	Roll Number: 198A1A0509				
Traine of the Control					

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.


S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		✓		,	
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	\checkmark	, ,	67		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.			4.1	* T	
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	,	/	P. 10		
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	•		/		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	•		-		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning of self-learning.	r	\checkmark			
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		\checkmark			
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	/				
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

		001 1-		101 hear	701-0
Any other suggestions:	Industrial Vi	isit to	gain pola	ctical Line	Licage
		U	/		,

Date: 11-11-2011



Ch. Buvari Signature of Student



(5)

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF NSTITUTIONS:: ONGOLE

(APPROVED BY AICTE-NEW DELHI, AFFILIATEL TO JNTUK KAKINADA)

(An ISO 9001:2015 certified Institute, NBA accredited for B. ech. in CE, EEE, ME and ECE)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (Dist), An 'hraPradesh, India

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF COMPUTER APPLICATIONS

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

SE STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: MCA	Academic Year: 2022 - 23
Programme Name: $PG\gamma$	Year/Semester:) / 1/1/
Name of The Student: P. HEMALATHA	Roll Number: 228A1F-0032

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		>	7		
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		~	3		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.			7		
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	,		1		4
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	-				
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		`			
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	/				¥
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other Suggestions: Thou So offee F. ening gelen

Date: 13/3/27

VALLUR SPOUR OF VALLUR STATES

Signature of Student

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) (An ISO 9001:2015 certified Institute, NBA accredited for B.Tech. in ECE, EEE, CE, ME and CSE) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

TTODESTITE ENDUACE ON CONGRESSION								
Department Name: ECC	Academic Year: 22-23							
Program Name: UG(B.Tech)	Year/Semester: T -T							
Name of the Student: pachava veexa Navago	Roll Number: 218 ALAOURS							

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of syllabus / to improve the quality of Program.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	√			, v, ls	7
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		$\sqrt{}$, , , , , ,		e' r r
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by	_				
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.		• 🗸	1		,
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	✓	v	at .		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.		✓	1		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.			✓		3k 1_
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	✓			\	
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.			a *		
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities,		\		,	r ⁱ

Any other suggestions:

Internships need to be increased.

Date: 21-11-22

Signature of Student